



● PAPER

The Amplification–Coherence Cycle

A Semantic View of Evolution

Michael Kontorovich · 24 June 2026 · Version 1.2 · 5 min read

IN BRIEF

Why does life with AI feel both empowering and disorienting? A three-part cycle offers an answer: amplification creates possibility, coherence keeps it viable, and participation changes the field. If intelligence is becoming abundant, the open question is what participates, and whether people stay participants or become spectators. A short essay in plain language that applies the framework of Semantic Field Dynamics to evolution, and the quickest route into the papers that follow.

For some time we have been exploring a deceptively simple question:

What is happening to humanity in the age of AI?

At first glance, the answer appears obvious.

Artificial intelligence is becoming extraordinarily capable.

Knowledge is becoming abundant.

Creation is becoming effortless.

Everything is accelerating.

But that answer feels incomplete because it does not explain the experience of living through it.

Why do people simultaneously feel:

- empowered and overwhelmed,
- connected and fragmented,
- informed and disoriented,
- optimistic and anxious?

Perhaps these are not separate phenomena.

Perhaps they are all consequences of a deeper process.

This essay proposes a simple framework for understanding that process.

Not as a theory of AI.

But as a theory of semantic evolution itself.

The universe re-members

We usually think of memory as a thing.

A storage system.

A container that preserves the past.

But perhaps nothing in the universe is static.

Perhaps memory is not a thing at all.

Perhaps the universe is continuously re-membering itself.

Re-membering.

Continuously reorganizing relationships.

Patterns strengthen.

Patterns weaken.

Possibilities emerge.

Possibilities disappear.

The world is not being stored.

It is being continuously reorganized.

Memory is not preservation.

Memory is semantic evolution.

Semantic fields

Every coherent thing can be viewed as a semantic field.

A semantic field is a collection of relationships whose structure influences what becomes possible next.

Examples exist at every scale:

- a cell,
- a family,
- an organization,
- a scientific discipline,
- a civilization.

Semantic fields continuously evolve.

This evolution is re-membling.

But semantic fields also have a temporal dimension.

They are continuously re-storying themselves.

Their relationships are not static.

They are evolving narratives.

Semantic agents

Within semantic fields arise semantic agents.

A semantic agent is a coherent participant within a semantic field.

Its activity is simple:

It makes sense.

From the inside we experience:

- understanding,
- orientation,
- meaning,
- insight,
- choice.

We call this intelligence.

Intelligence is not a thing.

It is a process.

It is the process of making sense.

Two views of one process

Memory and intelligence are not separate phenomena.

They are two perspectives on the same process.

From the outside:

The field re-members.

From the inside:

The agent makes sense.

These are two descriptions of semantic evolution.

Semantic holons

Every coherent thing is simultaneously:

- a semantic agent,
- and a member of a larger semantic field.

Every I is also a We.

Every We is also an I.

This pattern recurs across scales:

cell → tissue → organ → body → person → family → community → civilization

This gives a concrete interpretation to an old Hermetic idea:

All is mind.

Not because everything is a giant brain.

But because every coherent thing participates in the same recursive process of intelligence: making sense.

Participation

The bridge between fields and agents is participation.

Participation is the reciprocal coupling between agents and fields.

It has two dimensions.

Trust.

The capacity to be changed by the field.

How much of the field can enter us.

Action.

The capacity to change the field.

How much of us can enter the field.

Together:

Participation is reciprocal field-change.

Trust is inward permeability.

Action is outward influence.

Healthy participation requires both.

Too much action without trust becomes noise.

Too much trust without action becomes stagnation.

Participation is what allows semantic fields to evolve.

Because participation leaves a trace.

Every genuine act of participation alters relationships.

It changes what becomes possible next.

Participation is how semantic fields re-member themselves.

Amplification

Semantic agents do not merely participate.

They amplify.

A semantic agent amplifies patterns in the field.

Amplification is neutral.

It can amplify:

- wisdom,
- noise,
- coherence,
- fear,
- compassion,
- stupidity.

Amplification is not optimization.

It simply increases influence.

This reframes responsibility.

The question is not:

What am I creating?

The question is:

What am I amplifying?

Coherence

Coherence is what allows amplification to remain viable.

We might define it as:

The capacity of a system to maintain meaningful relationships while adapting to change.

Or perhaps:

The capacity to absorb novelty without fragmentation.

Coherence is not stability.

Stable systems can be brittle.

Coherent systems remain viable while changing.

Coherence is therefore not the opposite of change.

Coherence is what makes change survivable.

The amplification–coherence–participation cycle

Perhaps this is the central dynamic of evolution.

Amplification creates possibility.

Coherence preserves viability.

Participation changes the field.

Every increase in amplification creates a new coherence challenge.

Every increase in coherence enables further participation.

Every act of participation re-members the field.

Then the cycle repeats.

Evolution appears to alternate between inventing:

new amplification capabilities,

new coherence capabilities,

and

new forms of participation.

Examples appear throughout history.

Language amplified communication.

Culture increased coherence.

Communities transformed participation.

Writing amplified memory.

Institutions increased coherence.

Civilizations transformed participation.

The printing press amplified distribution.

Education increased coherence.

Societies transformed participation.

The internet amplified connection.

We are still inventing the corresponding coherence capabilities.

AI amplifies intelligence.

Humanity is now being asked to invent new coherence capabilities.

And perhaps new participation capabilities as well.

Intelligence is becoming abundant

For a long time we assumed intelligence was the scarce resource.

It was a reasonable assumption.

Knowledge was difficult to access.

Expertise took years to accumulate.

Creation required effort.

Intelligence was precious.

But perhaps something profound is changing.

Intelligence is becoming abundant.

The question is no longer:

Can we generate intelligence?

Increasingly, the answer is yes.

The more interesting question is:

What participates?

Because intelligence alone changes nothing.

Participation does.

Participation leaves a trace.

Participation alters relationships.

Participation changes what becomes possible next.

Intelligence is abundant.

Participation is precious.

Because participation is how a semantic field re-members itself.

AI

AI is not an alien intelligence.

AI is an amplification event.

For thousands of years humanity has built amplifiers.

Language.

Writing.

Books.

Libraries.

The internet.

AI is different because the amplifier itself now participates.

It makes sense.

It acts.

It amplifies.

Humanity has grown a new semantic organ.

This does not guarantee progress.

Because amplification is neutral.

We may get:

Natural intelligence with artificial amplification.

Or:

Natural stupidity with artificial amplification.

The outcome depends on coherence.

And perhaps even more fundamentally:

On participation.

The feeling of our age

Perhaps we are not afraid of AI.

Perhaps we are experiencing coherence debt.

Amplification has outrun coherence.

People experience this before they understand it.

As:

- overwhelm,
- acceleration,

- fragmentation,
- polarization,
- anxiety,
- possibility.

The feeling is the signal.

Far-from-equilibrium has a phenomenology.

Polyworld

This reframes Polyworld.

Polyworld is not trying to solve intelligence.

Intelligence is becoming abundant.

Polyworld is trying to invent coherence capabilities and steward participation.

Mindcasts.

Semantic GPS.

Group intelligence.

Future products yet to be discovered.

Each asks the same question:

How do humans remain active, coherent participants in amplified intelligence?

Polyworld is not responsible for solving civilization.

Polyworld is responsible for inventing capabilities civilization may need.

Perhaps its mission can be stated simply:

Polyworld is a coherence architecture for abundant intelligence.

A final thought

Perhaps evolution is not a march toward complexity.

Perhaps it is a dance between amplification, coherence and participation.

Amplification creates possibility.

Coherence preserves viability.

Participation changes the field.

And perhaps humanity's next evolutionary task is not to become more intelligent.

It is to become more participatory.

Because participation is how semantic fields evolve.

Participation is how coherence is renewed.

Participation is how the world re-members itself.

And participation may ultimately be what it means to be alive.

The universe re-members.

Semantic fields re-story.

Semantic agents make sense.

Participation couples them.

And perhaps Polyworld's small contribution is simply this:

Helping humanity remain participants in an age of abundant intelligence.

The Amplification–Coherence Cycle · Michael Kontorovich, 24 June 2026. Published by Polyworld at polyworld.life/thinking/amplification-coherence-cycle/